

email

24/9/12

SOUTH LAKE LAND
DISTRICT COUNCIL
RECEIVED

- 4 OCT 2012

REVS & BENS

To whom it may concern.

Please find enclosed documents regarding the Council response to
matter 1.6 and my objection to the consultation process.
My objection regard site :-

MN 25 M

- 1) It is quite clear that any housing / industrial development of
this land WILL have some form of impact on
the heritage and the landscape.

It may not matter much to the council but it is
VERY important to the local residents, many of whom
chose to buy houses there for those very reasons, i.e.
the heritage, the landscape and also the bio-diversity
value of the area.

- 2) As can be seen from my enclosed copy I do not know
what from the last sentence in the top box
"..... There was significant ????"

The field in question is what sets apart Kirkheat Rd
from the rest of the Kent Bank "estate", God forbid
if a new "estate" was to be put there as well.

Yours

[Redacted Signature]

Council response to matter 1.6.

SLDC-Response to Inspector Matter Issue 1.8 - GRANGE OVER SANDS 17 September 2012

Reference; EX020A

Grange Over Sands			SITE ASSESSMENT																												
Site Details			Core Data			Evidence Base Studies										Suitability Criteria							Other Issues/Factors								
			A	B	C	D	SA	FA	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y				
			Plot Risk Zone	Greenfield or brownfield	Sequential Location	Exclusion criteria	SA overall (greenfield sites in settlement)	FA overall (brownfield sites in settlement)	SILAA	ENLSS	Knowledge Based Employment Land Search and Assessment	Flood Risk	Landscape Impact	Settlement separation and green gap	Site Access	Infrastructure	Biodiversity	Heritage	Contamination	Hazards	Strategic Site	Open Space Value	Existing Employment Land	Availability	Transport/Access	Community value	Exceptional circumstances	SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT	Conclusion of assessment		
MN25M	MN25M		11.1441	1	E		O		NA		NA	O	O	O	O	O						N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	The site performs relatively well SA wise. It is on the edge of Grange, extending west and is a greenfield site. The site is some distance from Grange's services and facilities. The site is in Flood Zone 1 and there are some surface water issues. The site has low heritage impacts and moderate landscape impacts and clear biodiversity value. Parts of the site perform a settlement separation function. It has no contamination or hazard constraints. It is thought to have surmountable access limitations and infrastructure constraints. There was significant feedback about the site as part of MN25M.			Sequential Test - Site R658 / R659M (11.1441) Proposed as part of Barrow Regeneration site (M23/M24/M25M / R658 / R659M) for safeguarding of services and potential community uses such as performance/exhibition space (located Zone 3a)
R673	MN25M		18.0082	1	GR	E	O		NA		NA	O	O	O	O	O						N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	The site performs relatively well SA wise. It is on the edge of Grange, extending west and is a greenfield site. The site is some distance from Grange's services and facilities. The site is in Flood Zone 1 and there are some surface water issues. The site has low heritage impacts and moderate landscape impacts and biodiversity value. Parts of the site perform a settlement separation function. It has no contamination or hazard constraints. It has surmountable access limitations and infrastructure constraints. There was limited feedback about this site in location but significant objection to the site as part of MN25M.			
EN24	R35QM		1.3487	1	BR		O		1	NA	NA	O	O		O	O	O					N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	The site performs relatively well SA wise. It is within Grange's development boundary and is brownfield land. The site is some distance from Grange's services and facilities. The site is in Flood Zone 1 and has very limited surface water issues. It has low heritage impacts, moderate landscape impacts and some biodiversity value. The site does not perform a settlement separation function. It has some contamination potential but no hazard constraints. It has some surmountable access limitations and infrastructure constraints. There was limited feedback about the site.			
EN34			0.3093	1	BR		O		NA	NA	NA				N/A	O	O					N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	The site performs relatively well SA wise. It is within Grange's development boundary and is brownfield land. The site is close to Grange's services and facilities. The site is in Flood Zone 1 and there are no surface water issues. It has low heritage and landscape impacts but some biodiversity value. The site does not perform a settlement separation function. It has some contamination potential but no hazard constraints. It is thought to have surmountable access limitations and infrastructure constraints. There was limited feedback about the site.	Not recommended for allocation		
ON13	R60		0.8828	1	BR	E	O		2		NA	O	O		N/A	O	O					N/A	N/A	N/A			N/A	The site performs relatively well SA wise. It is on the edge of Grange and is a greenfield site. The site is some distance from Grange's services and facilities. The site is in Flood Zone 1 but there are some minor surface water issues. The site has moderate heritage and landscape impacts and clear biodiversity/goodness value. The site does not perform a settlement separation function. It has no known contamination or hazard constraints. It is thought to have surmountable access limitations and infrastructure constraints. There was limited feedback about the site.	Not recommended for allocation/designation		

n complete sentence
 though I am
unable to make
 an informed
 Quorum as part
 of the Circulation
 process, in this
 last sentence!

MN25 M site
do have an
impact on heritage
& the landscape,
which may be
low in the
Council's eyes
but is very
important to the
local residents,
many of whom
chose to live
there for those
very reasons, as
well as the bio-
diversity value.